



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,660	07/28/2003	Ramabudran S. Raghavan	LUCW-0003	4409
48671	7590	01/07/2011		
FLETCHER YODER (LUCENT)				
P.O. BOX 692289				
HOUSTON, TX 77069				
EXAMINER				
AJIBADE AKONAI OLUMIDE				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2617				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/07/2011		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	Application No. 10/628,660	Applicant(s) RAGHAVAN ET AL.	
	Examiner CHARLES N. APPIAH	Art Unit 2617	

**All Participants:**

(1) CHARLES N. APPIAH.

(2) MATTHEW C. DOOLEY.

**Date of Interview:** 5 January 2011

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant's representative was informed that the Notice of PAnel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review mailed on 1/5/11 was in error and that a new Notice with the proper decision would be mailed in due course.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Charles N. Appiah/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)